

Table 1. Comparison of previous (2015) and current BCWMC (2017) and MPCA MS4 (2020) triggers and water quality performance standards for linear projects

BCWMC Reviews of Linear Projects											August 2017 to September 2018 totals											October 2018 to October 2020 totals											November 2020 to October 2024 totals											TOTAL										
BCWMC Project Review Data	Project Disturbance (acres)	5.50	1.50	8.37	1.92	3.40	7.70	1.80	0.90	2.66	1.77	4.42	39.94	0.67	3.90	19.17	11.03	2.50	1.61	14.24	20.70	7.90	4.50	4.09	14.08	7.4	118.79	2.96	4.4	2.3	1.2	8.08	2.94	9.8	7.11	3.55	42.34	4.60	6.99	2.06	9.50	2.40	0.05	2.00	7.26	4.82	0.94	20.89	0.00	5.63	67.14	268.21		
	Existing Impervious (acres)	5.40	1.15	5.27	0.76	2.89	4.58	1.80	0.00	0.92	1.77	0.86	25.40	0.16	2.64	5.91	5.89	2.50	0.95	8.94	2.35	12.81	4.56	4.50	1.95	6.08	3.64	62.88	2.04	6.8	1.49	1.09	5.3	1.93	6.05	5.1	10.32	40.12	2.70	5.09	2.06	8.10	16.11	0.00	0.60	5.51	3.29	27.33	11.72	0.00	4.51	87.02	215.42	
	Proposed Impervious (acres)	5.00	1.17	5.07	0.73	3.00	4.96	1.80	0.00	1.58	1.77	0.86	25.94	0.16	2.43	7.66	5.64	2.50	0.92	8.84	3.85	13.76	4.32	4.50	1.74	6.08	3.41	65.81	2.04	6.7	1.46	1.12	4.65	1.68	5.63	4.96	10.28	38.50	3.00	4.69	2.06	8.20	16.35	0.00	0.80	5.05	3.19	27.62	9.43	0.00	4.20	84.59	214.84	
	Change in Impervious (acres)	-0.40	0.02	-0.20	-0.03	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.00	-0.21	1.75	-0.25	0.00	-0.03	-0.10	1.56	0.95	-0.24	0.00	-0.21	0.00	-0.23	7.93	0.00	-0.10	0.03	0.03	-0.65	-0.25	-0.42	-0.14	-0.06	-1.56	0.30	-0.40	0.00	0.10	0.24	0.00	0.20	-0.46	-0.10	0.29	-2.29	0.00	-0.11	-2.43	-0.52	
	New Impervious (acres)	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	1.17	0.00	0.00	1.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.85	7.27										
Reconstructed Impervious (acres)	5.00	1.15	5.07	0.73	2.89	4.58	1.80	0.00	0.92	1.77	0.86	24.77	0.16	2.43	5.91	5.64	2.50	0.92	8.84	2.35	12.81	4.32	4.50	1.74	6.08	2.56	60.76	2.04	0.9	1.46	1.09	4.65	1.68	5.63	4.96	0.93	23.34	2.70	4.69	2.06	0.80	1.16	0.00	0.00	5.05	3.19	0.00	8.77	0.00	4.20	32.62	141.49		
Total New and Reconstructed Impervious (acres)	5.00	1.17	5.07	0.73	3.00	4.96	1.80	0.00	1.58	1.77	0.86	25.94	0.16	2.43	7.66	5.64	2.50	0.92	8.84	3.85	13.76	4.32	4.50	1.74	6.08	2.56	64.96	2.04	0.9	1.46	1.12	4.65	1.68	5.63	4.96	0.93	23.37	3.00	4.69	2.06	0.90	1.40	0.00	0.20	5.05	3.19	0.29	9.49	0.00	4.20	34.47	148.74		
Assuming Previous (2015) BCWMC Requirement:	Trigger MIDS at 1 acre of new/fully reconstructed impervious	MIDS Treatment: Capture & retain larger of 1.1 inches off the net increase in impervious – or – 0.55 inches off the new/fully reconstructed impervious (acre-feet). Follow flexible treatment options if volume reduction is not feasible or not allowed.	0.23	0.05	0.23	0 ¹	0.14	0.23	0.08	0	0.07	0.08	0	1.11	0 ¹	0.11	0.35	0.26	0.11	0	0.41	0 ¹	0.63	0.2	0.21	0.08	0.28	0.12	2.76	0.09	0	0.07	0.05	0.21	0.08	0.26	0.23	0	0.99	0.14	0.21	0.09	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.15	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.19	1.50	6.36
Assuming Current BCWMC Requirement:	Trigger treatment at 1 acre of net new impervious	Capture & retain 1.1 inches off the net new impervious area (acre-feet). Follow flexible treatment options if volume reduction is not feasible or not allowed.	0	0	0	0 ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0 ¹	0	0.16	0	0	0	0	0 ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.16										
Assuming Current MPCA MS4 General Permit Requirement:	Trigger treatment at 1 acre or more of new/fully reconstructed impervious	The water quality volume must be calculated as the larger of 1 inch times the net new impervious surface or 0.5 inches times the sum of the new and the fully reconstructed impervious surface (acre-feet) (Assumed larger of two for figures to right.) If not feasible, maximize the treatment of the water quality volume prior to discharge from the MS4. ⁹	0.21	0.05	0.21	0 ¹	0.13	0.21	0.08	0	0.07	0.07	0	1.03	0 ¹	0.1	0.32	0.24	0.1	0	0.37	0 ¹	0.57	0.18	0.19	0.07	0.25	0.11	2.50	0.09	0	0.06	0.05	0.2	0.07	0.23	0.2	0	0.90	0.13	0.20	0.90	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.13	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.18	2.21	6.64
Capture and Retain Volume Provided (acre-feet) ²		0 ⁴	- ³	0 ⁵	0 ¹	- ³	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 ¹	- ³	- ⁶	0	0	- ³	- ⁷	0 ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- ³	0	- ³	0	0	0																	
Site Constraints	1 = Poor Soils 3 = Space (Right of Way) Constraints 5 = Drinking Water Management Areas 7 = Contaminated Soils 9 = Other	2 = High Groundwater 4 = Infiltration & Inflow Concerns 6 = Karst Areas 8 = Shallow Bedrock	More discussion and coordination needed with applicants to evaluate and determine whether any site constraints were present for each specific project.										More discussion and coordination needed with applicants to evaluate and determine whether any site constraints were present for each specific project.										More discussion and coordination needed with applicants to evaluate and determine whether any site constraints were present for each specific project.																															
Estimated Water Quality Treatment Provided	TP Loading from Existing (Pre-Project) Impervious (lb/year)	9.61	2.05	9.38	1.35	5.14	8.15	3.20	0	1.64	3.15	1.53	45.21	0.28	4.70	10.52	10.48	4.45	1.69	15.91	4.18	22.80	8.12	8.01	3.47	10.82	6.48	111.93	3.63	12.10	2.65	1.94	9.43	3.44	10.77	9.08	18.37	71.41	4.81	9.06	3.67	14.42	28.68	0.00	1.07	9.81	5.86	48.65	20.86	0.00	8.03	####	383.45	
	TP Loading from Proposed (Post-Project) Impervious (lb/year)	8.90	2.08	9.02	1.30	5.34	8.83	3.20	0	2.81	3.15	1.53	46.17	0.28	4.33	13.63	10.04	4.45	1.64	15.74	6.85	24.49	7.69	8.01	3.10	10.82	6.07	117.14	3.63	11.93	2.60	1.99	8.28	2.99	10.02	8.83	18.26	68.53	5.34	8.35	3.67	14.60	29.10	0.00	1.42	8.99	5.68	49.16	16.79	0.00	7.48	####	382.42	
	Difference in TP Loading from Existing (Pre-Project) to Proposed (Post-Project) (lb/year)	-0.71	0.04	-0.36	-0.05	0.20	0.68	0	0	1.17	0	0	0.96	0	-0.37	3.12	-0.45	0	-0.05	-0.18	2.67	1.69	-0.43	0	-0.37	0	-0.41	5.22	0.00	-0.18	0.05	0.05	-1.16	-0.45	-0.75	-0.25	-0.11	-2.78	0.53	-0.71	0.00	0.18	0.43	0.00	0.36	-0.82	-0.18	0.52	-4.08	0.00	-0.55	-4.33	-0.93	
	TP Removal (lb/year)	0 ⁴	- ³	6.34 ⁵	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0	0	0	0	0	0	- ¹	- ³	17.0 ⁶	- ³	0	- ³	9.85 ⁷	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0	0	- ³	- ³	0	0	0	0	- ³	0	- ³	0	0	0	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0	0	0	- ¹	- ³	0	0	0	0	- ¹	- ³			
	TP Removal (%)	0% ⁴	- ³	64% ⁵	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	- ¹	- ³	550% ⁶	- ³	0%	- ³	55% ⁷	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0%	0%	- ³	- ³	0%	0%	0%	0%	- ³	0%	- ³	0%	0%	0%	- ¹	- ³	- ³	0%	0%	0%	- ¹	- ³	0%	0%	0%	0%	- ¹	- ³			

¹ Trails and sidewalks and other miscellaneous disconnected impervious surfaces are exempt from BCWMC water quality performance goals. Adjacent pervious areas may provide some pretreatment or water quality treatment.

² Projects with site restrictions may not be required to "capture & retain" the water quality volume. These projects must follow BCWMC Flexible Treatment Options (FTOs).

³ Water quality treatment/pretreatment provided by project but documentation not submitted or not reviewed.

2018-02: Project included 5 new sump manholes for pretreatment. Drainage routed to existing ditches and wetlands along linear project which may also provide some water quality treatment and/or infiltration.

2018-07: Project included 18,905 cubic-foot Stormtech underground detention and infiltration system.

2018-08: Project included 4 new sump manholes for pretreatment.

2018-10: Project included 4 new sump manholes for pretreatment and an underground filtration trench to provide water quality treatment and/or infiltration.

2019-02: Project included 2 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

2019-05: Project included 4 new sump manholes for pretreatment. Drainage routed to existing stormwater ponds, which were improved as part of this project and provide water quality treatment.

2019-28: Project included 23 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

2020-01: Project included 1 new sump manhole for pretreatment.

2020-12: Project included 1 new sump manhole for pretreatment.

2020-13: Project was designed to maximize the amount of runoff that is routed to ditches and infield ponding areas in order to maximize pretreatment and water quality treatment.

2021-28: Project included 2 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

2021-35: Project included 5 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

2022-21: Project included 1 new sump manhole for pretreatment.

2022-23: Project included iron enhanced filtration basin and 2 new sump manholes for pretreatment.

2022-26: Project included 4 new sump manholes for pretreatment.

2023-23: Project included 1 new sump manhole for pretreatment.

2023-25: Project included 3 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

2024-09: Project included 5 new sump manholes with SAFL baffles for pretreatment.

⁴ Draft 90% designs for the project included 6 new sump manholes for pretreatment. However, the city asked that these be removed from the final design due to access and maintenance concerns, minimal effectiveness, and future stormwater improvement plans for the area.

⁵ No volume retained specifically as part of project, but a filtration basin proposed as mitigation for 2016 PMP project and 2017 PMP project.

⁶ Project included existing regional stormwater ponds, filtration basins, and swales within the construction limits that were utilized to demonstrate compliance to BCWMC requirements.

⁷ Water quality treatment provided as part of BCWMC Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Project CL-3 in conjunction with this project.

⁸ City of Plymouth was working with home owners to install two raingardens for additional water quality treatment.

⁹ Where the entire water quality volume cannot be treated within the existing right-of-way, a reasonable attempt to obtain additional right-of-way, easement, or other permission to treat the stormwater during the project planning process must be made. Volume reduction practices must be considered first, as described in item 20.8. Volume reduction practices are not required if the practices cannot be provided cost effectively. If additional right-of-way, easements, or other permission cannot be obtained, owners of construction activity must maximize the treatment of the water quality volume prior to discharge from the MS4.