

# Memorandum

**To:** Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC)  
**From:** Barr Engineering Co. (Barr) (Josh Phillips, Gabby Campagnola, Jim Herbert)  
**Subject:** Item 4F: Bassett Creek 2024 Flood Control Project Inspection - BCWMC December 19, 2024 Meeting Agenda  
**Date:** December 12, 2024  
**Project:** 23270051.62 1080 001

## 4F Bassett Creek 2024 Flood Control Project Inspection

In accordance with the Operation and Maintenance Manual for the Bassett Creek Flood Control Project (FCP), an annual inspection is required to review the condition of the FCP features. The FCP was turned over to the local sponsor during 2002. Therefore, inspection of the FCP features was initialized during the fall of 2002, which was the first formal inspection by the Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC). Except as noted, the annual inspections have been performed from 2002-2024. Inspections were not performed during 2003, 2011, and 2013 due to BCWMC budget considerations. Some of the municipalities have performed independent inspections of several of the FCP structures. The municipalities are responsible for routine maintenance and repair of the BCWMC FCP features located within their city. The municipalities are also responsible for submitting the completed FCP Maintenance Record from the previous year's inspection. It is important that the BCWMC receive these records, as the inspection and reporting are essential to ensure the BCWMC/municipalities maintain their eligibility to receive federal funds to repair or replace FCP features in the event of a catastrophe.

Pursuant to BCWMC policy, the municipalities may request reimbursement from the BCWMC for major maintenance and repairs that exceed \$25,000. However, the municipalities must perform regular, routine maintenance and submit the required reporting before requesting and receiving BCWMC reimbursement. This will help prevent the situation wherein the BCWMC pays for maintenance work over \$25,000 because the municipalities neglected routine maintenance for several years. The BCWMC expects the municipalities to inform the Commission in advance (e.g., two years) of their request for reimbursement. The BCWMC will consider adding maintenance and repair projects that are more than \$100,000 to the BCWMC capital improvement program (CIP).

Table 1 provides examples of maintenance and repairs that are major or could be major. In addition, the cities (or other road authority) where the FCP features are located are responsible for maintenance, repair and replacement of road crossings, and their corresponding conveyance structures, which were installed as part of the FCP.

The 2024 inspection comments and recommendations follow Table 1.

**Table 1 Routine vs. Major Maintenance and Repairs Items**

Item #	Routine vs. Major Maintenance and Repairs—as Recommended by the TAC <sup>1</sup> and approved by the BCWMC <sup>2</sup>
<b>Routine</b>	
1	Vegetation: removal of trees, removal of brush, chemical treatment of stumps, control of noxious weeds, establish vegetation on bare areas
2	Removal of debris: woody debris, riprap, trash from channel, inlets, culverts
3	Repair erosion; channels, inlet and outlet structures, culvert ends
4	Repair/replace riprap: on inlet and outlet ends of culverts, channels, banks
6	Remove sediment from channels, structures, culverts, etc.
10	Repair/maintain guard rails, handrails and fencing: remove rust, prime and paint, repair damaged rails and posts, replace rusted-out sections, repair cables, replace posts, repair chain link fence
12	Repair concrete pipe: repair joints, tie-bolts, spalling, connection to culverts, breakage
13	Repair/replace catch basins, manholes, casting assemblies, grates
14	Repair/maintain debris barrier: removal of debris, repair cables, replace poles
15	Repair/maintain tunnel inlet trash rack: repair/replace trash rack rods (loose or broken, vandalized, bent)
16	Street repairs: pavement, curb and gutter, cracks, depressions, settlement
<b>Major</b>	
5	Repair/replace gabion baskets
7	Remove sediment/dredge ponds, basins, etc.
17	Tunnel repairs: concrete and other repairs to the new Bassett Creek tunnel
<b>Could be major depending on extent</b>	
5	Repair/replace gabion baskets
7	Remove sediment/dredge ponds, basins, etc.
17	Tunnel repairs: concrete and other repairs to the new Bassett Creek tunnel

<sup>1</sup> Based on needed repairs identified during 2016 RCP inspection.

<sup>2</sup> Per BCWMC actions at their May 19, 2016 and July 21, 2016 meetings.

Note: references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

## **Plymouth Features**

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola (Barr), Josh Phillips (Barr), Ben Scharenbroich (City of Plymouth), and Talori Dunsworth (City of Plymouth)**

### **1. Plymouth Creek Fish Barrier (Constructed 1987)**

- a. The structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The water level was below the staff gage and the water was 1.35 feet below the weir at the time of the inspection.
- c. Woody debris has accumulated on the weir and should be removed.
- d. Sediment has accumulated in the pool upstream of the structure and formed a delta, which was overgrown with vegetation. The deposited sediment was generally creating a meander in the creek toward the east (left) bank. Deposited sediment in the upstream pool has been noted since 2005.
- e. Minor seeping was observed through the sheet pile.
- f. The railings at the upstream end of the structure, on the east (left) and west (right) sides, have rusted off below the weir level. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2015.
- g. The abutment walls have expansion joints in the middle, just upstream of the weir. The west (right) expansion joint gap was first measured in 2002 at 7/8 inches for comparison with future inspections. The west (right) expansion joint gap has been consistently measured at 7/8 inches.
- h. The top downstream edge of the concrete pile cap forming the weir has deteriorated along its entire length. Deterioration includes loss of cement paste and fines resulting in exposed aggregate. The weir appears to be functioning properly and controlling water to the design elevation.
- i. Three cracks were observed on the downstream west (right) wing wall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2004.
- j. Seven diagonal cracks were observed on the downstream east (left) wing wall. Three of the cracks are more prominently defined, closed cracks. Observation of the three prominent cracks is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002. The other four cracks are generally closed hairline cracks and less noticeable.
- k. A 15-inch diameter tree was observed growing adjacent to the downstream east (left) wing wall and through the railing.
- l. Small trees and shrubs were observed growing on the embankment and downstream channel banks, including some near the wing walls and railings.
- m. Creek flows appear to be eroding and undercutting the end of the concrete spillway by a few inches.

**Note:** references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

- n. City of Plymouth has a water quality monitoring box at this location.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Remove accumulated sediment at structure and from the upstream pool. (Note: In 2010, the City of Plymouth submitted an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) for a channel improvements project. The MPCA informed the City of Plymouth that the Clean Water Act expressly prohibits the use of creeks, streams, lakes, and wetlands from being used as a pollution treatment system except in extreme situations, therefore dredging of the sediment upstream of the fish barrier, in order to continue to utilize the area upstream of the fish barrier as a sedimentation pond, was prohibited by the MPCA and not permitted. It is recommended that the City of Plymouth coordinate with the MPCA to revisit this issue or discuss viable alternatives to remove the sediment since the project intent was not as a sediment basin but as a fish barrier.)
- Remove trees and vegetation on embankment and channel banks. Chemically treat stumps with Garlon 3A herbicide (or other specialty herbicide for use in wetland sites and waterways) to prevent regrowth.
- Remove woody debris from the structure.

**Recommended Monitoring:**

- Monitor concrete cracks and the width of the expansion joints.
- Monitor undercutting at the end of the concrete spillway.

**2. Medicine Lake Outlet Structure (Constructed 1996)**

- a. The channel between Medicine Lake and the outlet structure was clear of debris.
- b. The water level was below the weir at the time of the inspection and the staff gauge was measured at 887.25 feet
- c. The outlet structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- d. Although not part of the flood control project, the storm sewer outfall for local drainage from South Shore Drive in the west (right) bank was partially full of sediment.
- e. Woody vegetation was observed at each end of the dam where it ties into the banks.
- f. In late May 2019, City of Plymouth staff observed water flowing over the east side, but not the west side, of the Medicine Lake Outlet Structure. The city performed a baseline survey of the dam in the summer of 2019 and found that the east side of the dam was 0.15 feet lower than the west side. Future surveys can be performed to compare against the baseline.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Remove woody vegetation on each end of the dam where it ties into the banks.

**Note:** references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

- Although not part of the flood control project, the city may want to consider jetting out the sediment that has accumulated in the storm sewer that provides local drainage from South Shore Drive.

## Golden Valley Features

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola (Barr), Josh Phillips (Barr), and Drew Chirpich (City of Golden Valley)**

### 3. Wisconsin Avenue Control Structure (Constructed 1987)

- a. The water level was below the lowest staff gauge reading upstream and downstream of the culvert.
- b. The flood gate was closed (down) at the time of the inspection and appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- c. Deposited sediment was observed in the bottom of the culvert, ranging from 2-6 inches deep. The deposited sediment is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2006.
- d. Some of the gabion baskets upstream and downstream of the culvert have deteriorated or broken and riprap had fallen out of the baskets at some locations. Although the gabion baskets are no longer functioning as installed, no significant erosion was observed. There is evidence of some minor erosion near the staff gauge and left bank upstream of large culvert.
- e. Cracks were observed along the crown of the culvert.
- f. Upstream of the gate, the left bank has begun to scour, and deposition is occurring on the right bank.
- g. Scour of the creek bed was observed downstream of culvert. The scour appeared to start at the end of the culvert and continued for roughly 40 feet.
- h. The railings at the upstream and downstream ends of the culvert were in satisfactory condition.
- i. City of Golden Valley staff had recently cleared brush upstream of the culvert.

#### Recommended Maintenance:

- Annually clear woody brush directly around the upstream and downstream sides of the culvert.
- Test/exercise gate during annual flood control inspection to confirm the gate functions.

#### Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor deposited sediment in the culvert.
- Monitor upstream and downstream banks for erosion.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

#### **4. Golden Valley Country Club – Box Culvert, Overflow Weir, and Downstream Channel (Constructed 1994)**

- a. The channel and riprap armoring from Pennsylvania Avenue to the box culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The box culvert, joints, and railings appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- c. The overflow weir (earth berm) appeared to be in satisfactory condition and has been maintained by the Golden Valley Country Club staff as manicured fairway turf.
- d. Some sediment deposition was observed on the north (left) bank just upstream of the box culvert on top of the riprap, consistent with previous years.
- e. Some sediment deposition in other upstream areas was observed. This may have been due to low water levels.
- f. A tree is growing into the downstream side of the box culvert. The tree is growing through the last joint on the top right of the culvert.
- g. Near Pennsylvania avenue, a large chunk of concrete was observed in the channel near the right bank. At roughly the same cross section of the creek, a fence was observed on the left bank. The fence starts on the hill of the right bank and extends to be partially in the creek.

##### Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove tree that is growing into the box culvert.

##### Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor sediment deposition on the north (left) bank just upstream of the box culvert.

#### **5. Westbrook Road Crossing (Constructed 1993)**

- a. The concrete Bebo arch culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The water level at the staff gauge was measured at 853.65 feet.
- c. A scour hole was observed by the inlet pipe.
- d. Longitudinal hairline cracks were observed on the top of sections of the Bebo culvert, extending across the entire section (pre-cast section) width. The cracks are approximately 2 feet off-center of the structure. These cracks are consistent with previous inspections and have been noted since 2002.
- e. Spalled concrete was observed at multiple locations upstream and downstream of the culvert at the top of the wing walls and head wall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2007.
- f. The railings appeared to be in satisfactory condition.

Note: references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor cracks in the culvert.
- Monitor joint gap at pressure points.
- Monitor spalled concrete at wing walls, head walls, and catch basin.

**6. Regent Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete Bebo arch culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The channel bottom was soft and mucky, and the water level was below the bottom of the staff gauge and not measured.
- c. Spalled concrete was observed at the middle joint of the upstream north (left) wing wall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- d. A crack was observed at the upstream north (left) wing wall. The crack was near the top of the wing wall and travels at a 45-degree angle down to the headwall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- e. A crack was observed at the downstream south (right) wing wall. The crack was near the top of the wing wall and travels at a 45-degree angle down to the headwall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- f. Minor erosion was observed on the upstream side of the left bank and downstream side of the north (left) wing wall. This area is included in the project extents for the BCWMC's Main Stem Restoration Project from Reagent Avenue to Golden Valley Road as part of the 5-year Capital Improvement Program.
- g. Flows appeared to have scoured the north (left) side of the creek bottom and deposited sediment on the south (right) side of the creek bottom.
- h. The railings appeared to be in satisfactory condition.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor spalled concrete at the upstream north (left) wing wall.
- Monitor cracks at the upstream north (left) wing wall, upstream south (right) wing wall, and downstream south (right) wing wall.
- Monitor creek bottom for scour and deposition.

**7. Noble Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete Bebo arch culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Longitudinal hairline cracks were observed throughout the length of the top of the culvert, extending across the entire (pre-cast) section width. The cracks were either in the center of the

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

structure or approximately 2 feet off-center of the structure. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.

- c. Spalled concrete and exposed plastic joint material were observed approximately 2 feet either side of center throughout the length of the top of the culvert. The cement paste covering the plastic joint material has separated and exposed the plastic. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- d. Fractured and spalled concrete was observed at the downstream north (left) wing wall. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- e. Multiple cracks and spalling were observed at the top of downstream north (left) wing wall. The cracking is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2007.
- f. The downstream south (right) wing wall was slightly leaning toward creek. Inspection staff have monitored the offset between the upper portion of the wing wall and the lower portion of the wing wall, which is leaning toward the creek, since 2002 to document movement. The inspection staff's measurements are listed below:

<b>Year*</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2014</b>
Offset	5/8"	5/8"	11/16"	1"	1"	1"	1-1/8"	1-1/8"	1-1/8"	1-1/8"
<b>Year*</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2024</b>
Offset	1-1/8"	1-1/8"	1-1/8"	1-1/8"	1-3/16"	1-3/16"	1-1/2"	1-5/16"	1-3/8"	1-1/2"

\* FCP inspection was not performed in 2003, 2011, or 2013 due to budget considerations.

- g. Minor bank erosion and scour was observed on both sides of the downstream wing walls. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- h. Flows appeared to be scouring the north (left) side of the creek bottom.
- i. The paint on the railings is peeling.
- j. The City of Golden Valley installed riprap in 2018 to reinforce the bank and minimize continued erosion at the outside edge of the upstream south (right) wing wall, however some erosion has perpetuated upstream.

#### Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor cracks and spalled concrete in the culvert and wing walls.
- Monitor bank erosion at downstream wing walls and upstream of installed riprap at upstream south (right) wing wall.
- Monitor creek bottom for scour and deposition.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.



## **Golden Valley / Minneapolis Feature (Minneapolis Responsibility)**

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola (Barr) and Josh Phillips (Barr)**

### **1. Highway 55 Control Structure (Constructed 1987)**

- a. The concrete control structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. A hairline crack was observed in the east (left) wall of the inlet structure, although it appears that the crack may have been previously sealed with caulk. The crack is positioned in the middle of the wall extending full height. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2002.
- c. Erosion was observed around the east (left) side of the structure, likely from road runoff. In the summer of 2021, Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) crews placed class 5 aggregate on the east (left) side of the structure to access the upstream end of the structure to perform repairs of the double box culvert below Highway 55. The new class 5 aggregate is actively eroding. The erosion appears to have continued to worsen since previous years.
- d. MnDOT crews also drilled holes into the structure to install brackets and a temporary plate to block flows through the structure so they could perform repairs. MnDOT removed the plate, brackets, and bolts, but did not fill or repair the bolt holes.
- e. Downed trees are located near the left corner on the upstream side of the structure.
- f. In 2021, 2022, and 2024 a piece of rebar and relatively small mass of concrete were observed extending into the lower west (right) side of the opening of the low flow weir. A steel threaded rod extended approximately 2 inches from the south face of concrete on the east (left) side of low flow weir.
- g. The left (east) side of the chain link fence around the control structure was not fully reattached at the downstream left corner.

#### **Recommended Maintenance:**

- Protect the sides of the structure with armoring (rock or riprap) or reinforced vegetation to limit additional erosion. Alternatively, divert road runoff away from the structure.
- Remove downed trees near the upstream side of the structure.
- Sawcut and remove rebar and concrete chunk on east (left) side of low flow weir.
- Sawcut steel rod to be flush to concrete along south face of concrete on the east (left) side of the low flow weir.
- Repair chain link fence

**Note:** references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor hairline crack in the control structure.

## Crystal Features

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola (Barr), Josh Phillips (Barr), and Patrick Sele (City of Crystal) [for Markwood channel only]**

### 1. Markwood Open Channel (Constructed 1981-1984)

- a. The channel appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Vegetation growth was observed along the side slopes of the channel. Some areas appeared to have been cleared since the previous year's inspection.
- c. Erosion was observed along the toe of the north (left) and south (right) banks. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2006.
- d. The retaining wall along the channel at 7010 36th Avenue is failing and falling into the channel, potentially impacting high flows and causing erosion.
- e. Other downstream retaining walls and fences along the channel were leaning toward the channel and, in some cases, appeared to be failing. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2009.
- f. The City of Crystal's corrugated metal pipe (CMP) storm sewer, discharging into the channel between 6833 and 6825 Markwood Drive, was in poor condition. The pipe bottom was corroded and there was erosion and undercutting around the pipe end. The pipe was exposed approximately 10 feet, measured on the downstream side.
- g. Cinder blocks and miscellaneous debris (bed frame, chair) were observed in the creek.
- h. New bank erosion along right bank was observed downstream of the gabion section.
- i. While recent vegetation clearing appeared to have occurred along the gabion baskets section, the clearing could only be done above the top of the baskets and vegetation is still growing through the baskets. It was unclear if herbicide was used to prevent regrowth, but this vegetation may continue to pose a risk of damage to the gabion baskets.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Clear woody vegetation to maintain flow capacity, protect the gabion baskets from damage, and allow access through the open channel. Consider clearing vegetation every other year. Chemically treat stumps with Garlon 3A herbicide (or other specialty herbicide for use in wetland sites and waterways) to prevent regrowth.
- Remove cinder blocks and debris from the creek.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

- Although not part of the flood control project, the city may want to consider repairing or replacing the CMP storm sewer.
- Although not part of the flood control project, repair the failing retaining wall and/or stabilize the area at 7010 36<sup>th</sup> Avenue to prevent erosion and potential obstruction of channel flows.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor remaining retaining walls and fences for potential failure and obstruction of flow through the channel.
- Monitor erosion along the toe of the channel banks.

**2. 36<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Hampshire Avenue Crossing – 8 feet x 6 feet Double Box Culverts (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete box culverts, joints and tie bars appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Some riprap has deposited in the box culverts.
- c. Sediment has deposited in the box culverts, mostly in the downstream half of the box culverts. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2016.
- d. On both culverts, the fifth joint from the downstream end had a 2 ½ inch gap, which is a larger gap than the rest of the joints. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2010.
- e. Various locations of spalled concrete and exposed reinforcing was observed through both culverts.
- f. Infiltration was observed into the north (left) culvert in 2019 and 2020 at the storm pipe connection upstream of the first culvert bend. However, infiltration was not observed into the culvert at this location from 2021 to 2024, potentially due to lower water levels and dryer conditions.
- g. Approximately 6 inches of sediment was observed on the downstream side of both culverts.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove deposited riprap in the box culverts and replace upstream.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor sediment in the box culverts.
- Monitor the joint gaps in the box culverts.
- Monitor spalled concrete, and exposed reinforcing in the culverts.

**3. Markwood Downstream Overflow (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. Approximately 75% of the bolts on the railing of the overflow appeared to be missing.

Note: references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Replace bolts to the railing.

**4. Markwood 8 feet x 4 feet Box Culvert (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The box culvert was not entered due to low clearance and was visually inspected from the outside at the downstream end. The box culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Approximately 2-4 inches of sediment was observed at the downstream side of the culvert.
- c. The upstream catch basins on the south side of 36th Avenue at Jersey Avenue appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- d. Approximately 3 of the catch basins on the north side of 36th Avenue at Jersey Avenue appeared to be settling potentially due to rusted or loose fasteners.
- e. A storm drain inlet protection device was attached to one of the catch basins on the north side of 36<sup>th</sup> Avenue at Jersey Avenue, likely from a past road construction.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Investigate and repair settling catch basins or fasteners on the north side of 36th Avenue at Jersey Avenue.
- Remove storm drain inlet protection device.

**5. Georgia Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete culverts appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Cracking and spalling were observed in the south (right) culvert at the second and fourth joints at approximately the five o'clock position.
- c. Cracking was observed in multiple joints in the north (left) culvert on the right side.
- d. Minor erosion was observed between the concrete culverts at the upstream end extending to nearly the first joint.
- e. Approximately two inches of sediment has accumulated downstream of the culverts, especially near the north (right) culvert and right bank.
- f. Sediment has accumulated on the right bank upstream of the culverts.
- g. Both culverts appeared to begin to change slope around mid-channel.
- h. There is a downed tree upstream of the culvert that is partially in the creek.
- i. One 18" diameter 4-foot long log was in the left structure and two 12" diameter 3-foot long logs were in the right structure.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove logs from culverts to prevent conveyance obstructions.
- Remove downed tree upstream of the culvert.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor cracking and spalling in the south (right) culvert.
- Monitor minor erosion between the concrete culverts on the upstream end.

**6. Edgewood Embankment (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The embankment appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- c. The creek was completely dry on upstream side of the embankment.
- d. No visible settlement or erosion was observed along the embankment.
- e. Trees and shrubs are growing on the embankment.
- f. The natural boulder riprap between the Edgewood embankment and Douglas Drive crossing is significantly overgrown with vegetation.
- g. Spalled concrete was observed at four joints in the culvert.
- h. Spalled concrete was observed on the north (right) and south (left) side of the downstream flared end section of the culvert.
- i. Moderate erosion is occurring on the left side of the upstream end of the culvert.
- j. Sediment has accumulated in the pool downstream of the culvert and formed a delta, which had some established vegetation. The deposited sediment was generally creating a meander in the creek toward the south (right) bank.
- k. Small dead trees were observed downstream of embankment.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove branches and downed trees that are in the creek channel to prevent obstructing creek flows.
- Remove trees, shrubs and woody vegetation growing on the embankment.
- Clear woody vegetation in the channel between the Edgewood Embankment and Douglas Drive crossing as needed to maintain flow capacity and allow access through the open channel.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

**Recommended Monitoring:**

- Monitor spalled concrete at the fifth joint from the upstream end in the culvert.
- Monitor spalling on outlet structure.
- Monitor sediment accumulation in the pool downstream of the culvert.

**7. Douglas Drive Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete box culvert was not entered due to low clearance but appeared to be in satisfactory condition, based on visual inspection.
- b. The grouted riprap installed on each side of the box culvert outlet has started to deteriorate, and a gap has opened between the grout and the wall of the box culvert. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2016.
- c. Spalled concrete was observed at the downstream north (left) wing wall.
- d. Sediment has deposited in the bottom of the culvert, ranging from 0-8 inches deep.
- e. Some riprap was observed in the culvert.
- f. On the downstream end of the culvert, settling was observed in the sidewalk on each side of the culvert.
- g. Spalled concrete was observed on the top of the culvert on the downstream end.
- h. There are two reinforced concrete storm pipes in Douglas Drive that connect on the left (north) side and right (south side) of the culvert. The reinforced concrete storm pipe on the right (south) side of the culvert appeared to be significantly cracked.
- i. Small trees were observed adjacent to the structure at the downstream end.
- j. Although not part of the flood control project, the privately-owned CMP culvert downstream of the Douglas Drive crossing is in poor condition. Sagging of this culvert has been observed during inspections since 2009. The culvert is significantly bowing and settling has occurred on either side of the culvert, which is evident in the road pavement above the structure.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Remove riprap from the culvert and replace upstream.
- Consider adding a railing along the sidewalk at the upstream and downstream end of the culvert.
- Consider repairing the reinforced concrete storm pipes in Douglas Drive that connect to the culvert on the right (south) side.
- Although not part of the flood control project, it is recommended that the city coordinate with the property owner to repair or replace the culvert downstream of Douglas Drive.

**Note:** references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor deposited sediment in the culvert.
- Monitor grouted riprap at the downstream end of the box culvert.
- Monitor spalled concrete at the downstream north (left) wing wall.
- Monitor settling in the sidewalk at the downstream end of the culvert.

**8. 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete culvert appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Sediment has deposited in the bottom of the culvert, ranging from 0-4 inches deep. This is consistent with previous inspections and 0-12 inches of sediment has been noted in the culvert since 2002.
- c. The tie bars directly upstream and downstream of the manhole connection to the culvert were rusty and flaking. This is consistent with previous inspections and has been noted since 2008.
- d. An access trail to the creek was recently cleared on the upstream side of the structure.
- e. BCWMC has a monitoring station at this site.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor accumulated sediment in the culvert.
- Monitor tie bars directly upstream and downstream of the manhole connection to the culvert.

**9. Brunswick Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. Trees are growing around the upstream end of the structure.
- b. A grade change occurs for both culverts at the 4<sup>th</sup> joint from the downstream side.
- c. Grout and grout repair appeared to be failing at all joints in both culverts. Fractured pieces of concrete and grout were observed at multiple joints in both culverts.
- d. Longitudinal and circumferential cracks were observed in both culverts.
- e. Strained and detached tie bars were observed in the north (left) culvert. One joint with broken tie bars was previously re-grouted by the City of Crystal, but the joint reopened and a 1.5-inch-gap in the joint was observed during the 2008 inspection. A 3-inch gap in the joint has been noted since 2009.
- f. At the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> joint from the downstream side of the north (left) culvert, broken tie bars on both sides of the culvert were observed.
- g. Two holes were observed at the 2<sup>nd</sup> joint from the downstream side of the north (left) culvert. Water appeared to be seeping through 2<sup>nd</sup> downstream joint and coming out of the hole.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

- h. A broken tie bar was observed in the south (right) culvert on the right side of the joint that is 5<sup>th</sup> from the downstream end.
- i. Spalled concrete and exposed reinforcing were observed from the furthest downstream joint to 4<sup>th</sup> joint from the downstream in the south (right) culvert.
- j. A large circumferential crack was observed at the 5<sup>th</sup> joint from the downstream end of the south (right) culvert, crack was located from 10 to 12 o'clock.
- k. Cracks and localized settling were observed in the road pavement above the structure.
- l. Sediment has deposited in the channel between the Brunswick Avenue crossing and the 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue crossing and created two deltas in the channel. The channel was armored with boulder riprap in 2014. The sediment delta has been observed since 2017.
- m. A scour hole was observed at the downstream side of the left culvert.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Remove trees growing around the upstream end of the structure.
- Replace segments of culvert in poor condition or perform the following repairs:
  - Repair detached tie bars.
  - Repair fractured concrete and grout at joints in the culverts.
  - Repair and seal the hole in the culvert.
  - Repair areas of spalled concrete and exposed reinforcement.

**Recommended Monitoring:**

- Monitor minor cracks in the culverts and road.
- Monitor joint separation in north (left) side culvert.
- Monitor deposited sediment and vegetation in the channel between the Brunswick Avenue crossing and the 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue crossing.

**10. 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Crossing (Constructed 1981-1984)**

- a. The concrete culverts appeared to be in satisfactory condition. The culverts were only visually inspected from the outside at the upstream and downstream ends due to low clearance and high water levels. The southwest (right) culvert is at a slightly lower elevation at the upstream end.
- b. Water was primarily flowing through the right culvert during the inspection. Both culverts had roughly two inches of water.
- c. Water was observed seeping into the north (left) culvert through the bottom of the first joint at the upstream end of the culvert. Water appeared to be seeping below the culvert through the second

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.



joint and back into the culvert through the third joint. These observations indicate that the joints are not well sealed and there is potential for loss of fines (piping) below the culvert.

- d. Water was observed seeping into the south (right) culvert through the bottom of the first joint at the upstream end of the culvert.
- e. Concrete erosion along the culvert invert was noted at the upstream side of the north (left) culvert and at the upstream joints.
- f. There appeared to be approximately 0-4 inches of sediment in the right and left culvert.
- g. Vegetation, including two-inch diameter trees, was growing over the culverts.
- h. A grade change occurred at the 5<sup>th</sup> joint from the upstream inlet.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Seal the upstream joints along the invert of the north (left) culvert to minimize potential loss of material and settling.
- Remove trees growing over the culvert.

**11. Bassett Creek Park Pond and Outlet (Constructed 1995)**

- a. Bassett Creek Park Pond appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Sediment has deposited in the northwest corner of Bassett Creek Park Pond and formed multiple deltas, which are overgrown with trees and vegetation. This has been noted since 2006 and the sediment deposition appears to be increasing.
- c. The outlet pipes appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- d. Water was below the inverts of the outlet pipes.

**Recommended Action:**

- None.

**Additional Comments:**

- Dredging of Bassett Creek Park Pond and upstream channel improvements (BCP-2) is included in the BCWMC CIP Table 5-3. The BCWMC completed a feasibility study for the dredging of Bassett Creek Park Pond and Winnetka Pond in May 2017. The City of Crystal dredged Winnetka Pond East in 2019. The Bassett Creek Park Pond dredging is included as a future BCWMC CIP project, pending funding.

**12. Detention Pond and Outlet Structure (Constructed 1995)**

- a. The outlet structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.

**Note:** references to “right” and “left” are with respect to facing downstream.

- b. The detention pond appeared to be in satisfactory condition, but a survey is needed to assess accumulated sediment.

Additional Comments:

- MnDOT is proposing to dredge the pond (BCWMC 2024-19) and has submitted plans to the BCWMC Engineer for review.

## **Crystal / Golden Valley Features (MNDOT Responsibility)**

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola and Josh Phillips (Barr)**

### **1. Highway 100 Double Box Culverts (Constructed 1930s, and 2001) and Inlet Structure (Constructed 1995)**

- a. The concrete inlet structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Debris was built up in the inlet structure causing a small dam 18" deep at the inlet structure. Barr notified the City of Crystal of this issue on November 1, 2024 and the City removed the debris on November 18, 2024.
- c. During the inspection there was 4" of water in the right box and 18" of water in the left box, due to the debris dam and accumulated sediment.
- d. Circumferential cracks and approximately 14 locations of spalled concrete, 13 of which had exposed reinforcement, were observed in the north (left) box culvert.
- e. Two areas of spalled concrete on the right wall of the south (right) box culvert were observed.
- f. Four longitudinal cracks, circumferential cracks, and areas of spalled concrete with exposed reinforcement were observed along the top of the south (right) box culvert. MnDOT performed repairs to the culverts in 2007, but cracks have been noted since 2008 and areas of concrete spalling have been noted since 2014.
- g. Deposited sediment was observed in the north (left) box culvert, generally ranging from 6-36 inches deep. This is similar to previous inspections that noted 6-24 inches of deposited sediment has been observed in the north (left) box culvert since 2002.
- h. The outlet portion of the structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- i. Riprap was observed in the inlet structure near entrance to the south (right) box culvert.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove deposited riprap in the inlet structure near the entrance to the south (right) box culvert.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

Recommended Monitoring:

- Monitor accumulated sediment in north (left) box culvert and consider future removal.
- Monitor cracking, spalling concrete, and exposed reinforcement in both box culverts.
- MnDOT should assess the defects and repair, as necessary.

## Minneapolis Features

**Inspection Date: October 24, 2024**

**Inspection Personnel: Gabby Campagnola and Josh Phillips (Barr)**

### 1. Open Channel (Constructed 1992)

- a. The open channel, from Van White Memorial Boulevard to the inlet structure, appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The banks were generally vegetated. Some areas had exposed soil and steep slopes but seemed stable and no obvious signs of bank erosion were noted.
- c. Sediment has deposited and formed a delta on the south (right) side of the channel between the debris barrier and inlet structure, extending approximately 10% of the channel width. Vegetation was growing on the delta. This is consistent with previous years' observation.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove accumulated sediment and debris on the south (right) side of the channel between the inlet structure and debris barrier.

### 2. Debris Barrier (Constructed 1992)

- a. The debris barrier piles appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. The steel cable was repaired by the City in 2023 and extended across the channel, attached at each post.
- c. A large log was deposited on the right side of the barrier.

Recommended Maintenance:

- Remove log on right side of the barrier.
- Remove accumulated debris following significant rainfall events.

### 3. Inlet Structure (Constructed 1992)

- a. The concrete inlet structure appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- b. Vertical cracks were observed in the concrete on either side of the structure.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

- c. Cracks were observed near where the handrail posts are embedded.
- d. The railings appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- e. Some of the vertical bars have been bent and projecting a slight bow but generally appeared to be in satisfactory condition.
- f. The inlet structure was full of leaves but was clear of larger debris.
- g. Spalled concrete was observed behind the railings on the right side of the structure.

**Recommended Maintenance:**

- Remove accumulated debris following significant rainfall events.

**Recommended Monitoring:**

- Monitor cracks in the concrete inlet structure.
- Monitor concrete spalling behind the railings.

**4. Double Box Culvert (Constructed 1992)**

- a. The double box culvert is on a 5-year inspection interval. An inspection of the double box culvert was performed in November 2024 and a separate summary report will be prepared and submitted.

**5. 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Tunnel (Constructed 1990)**

- a. The most recent detailed inspection of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Tunnel was completed in 2020. Inspection of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue "deep" tunnel is on a 5-year interval, alternating between a detailed NAASCO inspection and a less comprehensive tunnel inspection, looking for significant changes without coding existing or new defects or preparing a detailed report. The next "less comprehensive" inspection is scheduled for 2025.

**6. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Tunnel (Constructed 1979)**

- a. The most recent inspection of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Tunnel was completed in 2020. Inspection of the entire 2<sup>nd</sup> Street "deep" tunnel is on a 10-year inspection interval with the next detailed NAASCO inspection scheduled for 2030. Similar to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Tunnel a "less comprehensive" inspection of the unsubmerged portions of the tunnel is scheduled for 2025.

Note: references to "right" and "left" are with respect to facing downstream.

Attachment

Photographs of Bassett Creek Flood Control Project Features

October 24, 2024

Plymouth Creek Fish Barrier (Plymouth)



Medicine Lake Outlet (Plymouth)



Wisconsin Avenue Control Structure (Golden Valley)





Golden Valley Country Club (Golden Valley)



Westbrook Road Crossing (Golden Valley)



Regent Avenue Crossing (Golden Valley)



Noble Avenue Crossing (Golden Valley)



Highway 55 Control Structure (Golden Valley)



Markwood Open Channel (Crystal)



Markwood Open Channel (Crystal)



36th Avenue and Hampshire Avenue Crossing (Crystal)





Markwood Downstream Overflow (Crystal)




Markwood 8 feet x 4 feet Box Culvert (Crystal)



Georgia Avenue Crossing (Crystal)



Edgewood Embankment (Crystal)



Douglas Drive Crossing (Crystal)



34th Avenue Crossing (Crystal)



Brunswick Avenue Crossing (Crystal)



Brunswick Avenue Crossing (Crystal), continued



32nd Avenue Crossing (Crystal)





Bassett Creek Park Pond and Outlet (Crystal)



Detention Pond and Outlet Structure (Crystal)



Highway 100 Double Box Culverts (MnDOT Responsibility, Located in Crystal/Golden Valley)



Highway 100 Double Box Culverts, continued



Open Channel (Minneapolis)



Debris Barrier (Minneapolis)



Inlet Structure (Minneapolis)

